

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STAYES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 8.0 Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usbio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/997,350	11/29/2001	Thomas W. Lanzatella	1557-005US1	6058	
7590 01/18/2006			EXAMINER		
B Noel Kivlin			THAI, HANH B		
Meyertons Hood	d Kivlin Kowert & Goet	zel P C		·	
P O Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2163		
		DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/997,350	LANZATELLA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hanh B. Thai	2163		

	Hanh B. Thai	2163	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nature at a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig ter than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in corfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b	consideration and/or search (see NO low);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1	.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	•	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is purposed and the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 21-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	n) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi rovided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		÷	
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a N and sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess:	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered l	out does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
<u> </u>		an	
	CHDEDVI	SAFET METJAMIS SORY BIOM	INER

SUPERVISOR

TECHNULUGY C.

DAMMEH Talaga Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amended limitation "receiving a request to access a storage object residing on a non-volatile storage device of the first storage environment" of claim 1 has changed the scope of the claims that need further search and reconsideration.